Long-Range Facilities Planning Committee Meeting #l



July 9, 2025 Adam Rich, Killeen ISD and Olin Parker, Civic Solutions Group

Killeen ISD



Dr. Jo Ann Fey Superintendent



Adam Rich Assistant Superintendent for Facility Services

Huckabee



Jason Andrus Principal Architect



Holly Teague Director of Planning



Casey McCausland Project Planner

Agenda

01

Purpose & Role

Defining the role of the Long-Range Facilities Planning Committee

04

Optimization Priorities

Grounding the work in stakeholder feedback

02

Framing: Bond, Enrollment, & Budget Reviewing the 2018 Bond and current budget challenges

05

School Profiles

Applying Optimization Priorities to each campus

03

District Optimization Overview

Reviewing the national landscape and how Killeen ISD fits in

06

Calendar of Future Events

Upcoming events for Long-Range Facilities Planning Committee

02 **Purpose & Role of the Long-Range Facilities Planning Committee**

COMMITTEE CHARGE

Provide the Board of Trustees with transparent, wellsupported recommendations to guide capital improvement decisions over the next decade.

Purpose of the Committee

Three primary functions:



mission and community values

Purpose of the Committee

Long-Range Facilities Planning Committee

- \checkmark Share expertise
- ✓ Generate ideas for further research
- $\checkmark\,$ Provide feedback and advice
- ✓ Develop transparent, wellsupported recommendations

Killeen ISD Board

- \checkmark Receives input and feedback
- ✓ Accepts, modifies, or rejects recommendations
- ✓ Ultimate decision-making body

02 **Framing:** 2018 Bond, Enrollment, & Budget

Framing: 2018 Bond





Intercoms, controlled access devices, perimeter fencing, shade structures for elementary schools



Ramps, entrances, door hardware, and restrooms to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act

New Elementary School

Construction of Pat Carney Elementary to serve grades PK-5 with a capacity of 1,050 students



New High School

Construction of Chaparral High School to serve grades 9-12 with a capacity of 3,000 students

School Consolidation

Consolidate facilities to create a new Killeen, Pershing Park, and Clifton Park Elementary



School Renovations

Significant renovation to Killeen HS

Framing: 2018 Bond Results







Polling Break:



Use QR code or go to PollEV.com/danaray489

Long-Term Enrollment Trends

Fewer births and fewer enrollees in Killeen ISD



🕒 Births 🛛 😑 Killeen ISD Kindergarteners

Key Finding:

Fewer children are being born and fewer kindergarteners are enrolling in Killeen ISD.

This could have long-term impacts on district enrollment as larger cohorts graduate and are replaced by smaller cohorts of students.

*Births and projected kindergarten enrollment provided by Zonda education

Review of Capacity

Current, five-year, and ten-year trends reveal the necessity of action at the elementary and middle school level

		2024-25		2029	9-30	2034-35	
Туре	Capacity	Excess Seats	Utilization	Excess Seats	Utilization	Excess Seats	Utilization
Elementary	27,754	5,550	80%	7,3 3 (+1763)	73.7%	7,824 (+2274)	71.8%
Middle School	11,940	2,763	76.9%	2,823 (+60)	76.4%	3,277 (+514)	72.6%
High School	I 4,359	2,688	81.3%	2,064 (-624)	85.6%	2,169 (-519)	84.9%

*Projected capacity and projected enrollment provided by Zonda education

Budget Challenges: Loss of Impact Aid

2025-26			\$10,0	00,000	Up to \$31m in reductions
2026-27	\$5,000,000				needed over the next four years.
2027-28		\$7,000,000			After all hold harmless payments are
2028-29			\$9,000,000		exhausted, \$50m annually.

Framing: Budget Challenges



Budget Advisory Committee Goal: Earmark \$10m from the 2025-26 budget for potential reduction

Results of Earmarking Activity

Earmarking Category	
Staffing Models	
Yondr Pouches	
Utility Savings	
Contracted Services	
Travel	
Leasing Property	
Consolidation of Schools	
Extracurricular Activities	
Transportation	

Polling Break:



Use QR code or go to PollEV.com/danaray489

03 District Optimization Overview

State and National Landscape



- Shifts in school-age population and where students reside
- Lower birth rates
- Increased virtual, nonpublic, homeschool, and choice options
- Cost of living increases
- Fewer home starts and annual closings
- Uncertain state funding
 environment





















The purpose of District **Optimization is to better** utilize our resources and improve outcomes for students, teachers, families, and the community.



Possible Elements of District Optimization



District Optimization Approach



Discussion Break:

Turn and talk at your table:

I. What has the data shown you about the path forward for Killeen ISD?

2. What do your context, values, and experience tell you about how to move forward?

04 District Optimization Priorities

12

Group + individual sessions with stakeholders

200+

Stakeholders engaged

43

Survey responses beyond session engagement

50+

School Profile documents created for each school



Proposed District Optimization Priorities

Once approved, priorities will be applied to all future District Optimization decisions



Measuring District Optimization Priorities

Academic Outcomes	 STAAR Performance Subgroup Performance
High Quality Facilities	 Facility Investment Over 10 Years Building Utilization
Strong Leadership & Staffing	 Teacher Retention Teacher Attendance Staff Survey Results
Family & Student Engagement	 Student Attendance Family and Student Survey Results
Resources for Student Readiness	 Cost Per Student Metric Student / Teacher Ratios

29

District Optimization Priority Development

Hypothetical Scenario



Interpreting Optimization Priority Results



Polling Break:



Use QR code or go to PollEV.com/danaray489

05 District Optimization School Profiles

District Optimization School Profiles

Each school receives a profile showing performance against district optimization priorities

Glossary of Terms							
Financial Health							
Cost Per Pupili	The state are student of neurona a schedul fluctuation indust reducts management, utilities, welling, well Sources UNIT Reasons, LDP Public area Ris						
Rank by School Type:	A schedult mixture another companyal as other advants of to same year followerses, middle, high, Confidentian scheduler are assumed for an follower Phase is included with elementery advants and This factor is included with high schedul.						
OverUnden	The difference between the schedule cost are shall and the matter cost are shall for its schedule test intervence, while, built. Construction actuals are accounted for as follows. When it included with determines while and Thicken its included in the schedule of the schedule of the determines while and Thicken its included in the schedule.						
Facility Condition and	Investment						
Per Pupil Investments	The ensure USE has exact on the factor over the cast ten more divided by school evolves. Source USE Announ, LDE Molecume Re						
Rank by School Type:	A solver's minime excition compared to other schedule of its same true followersers, middle, highly Combination schedule an accounted for as follows: Pfitten is included with schemensers achieves and Tribushaut is included with high achieves.						
18-Year Investment	The total arrayst of fractal investment is the factor over the cast 10-mars, Source 1933						
Year of Original Construction	The new the building was antitude constructed. Source 1935						
Year of Host Recent Hajor Addition	The next of the most matrix water addition as defined to $1201,500\rm{mer}$ 1202						
School Culture							
Family Choice: Attending from Out of the Zone	The number of DED students from stands of a school same that stand the school. Source: DED Student Information Season						
Family Choice Attending Zanad School	The number of URS moderns from a schedule some that estand the schedul fourier $U\mathrm{RS}$ fourier information former						
Family Choice Transfer Out (Danter/Farechia)	The number of URD adultatio from a school's sizes that been to astand a charter school, entering and the school of						
Family Choice: Transfer Out (LPSE)	The number of UVD students from a schedule same that stand a different UVD schedul.						
Family Chaica Rank by School Type	A school's mixeline associates compared to other achievit of its some trans information, middle, Noti. Complete a school are associated for an follower Phase is included with advancement achievit and Thiosekan in the cluber of the Noti Alexan.						
No. Applicants Ranking Hagnet Program	The number of excitations who assilted to the matter school or analysis for the 255 ± 25 school matrix lawnes of S School Phot						
3 Year Arg of Teacher Retention	The constants of southers empirical at a schedular distinct of the constant from one rest to the next. Sources $S(2)$ from the sources						
At or Above Teacher Retention Threshold	Wester or not the schedule does not reach an interfactor relation is since factor thank or index for the does the constant of BCS, Sector 2712 Scheduline						

Kangaroo Mi	ddle Schoo		Killeen
School Overview			
location	123 Ree St		
Campus Type	Middle School (EXAMPLE)	V TOTAL	
TEA Accountability Rating	F - 3rd Year		Trit
High School Feeder(s)	Meerkat High School	YTTT-O	
Year Built Major Upgrades	2010 NIA	/ ////h	
		-	
Demographics	-	Enrollment	
-	 School 	• (have Total Envolment	853
	_		
274	BH BH 8	S-Year Trand	-4%
BPED (B)volt Excla Evant Evant	Wary BackCoult -Approx Deck Event	White Docal	
Academics		Staffing	
Academic Growth (RAAnguer)	erait) • Shed	Dans Precipal Experience	7 years
50		Awrop Teacher Experien	
25 44	52	Persent Carillard Tasihers	
School .	Dateit Arg. by School 7		
Facilities			
Capital Investment per Stude	Mit (out it have) 🔶 School	• Dents Age of HUNC	19 years
\$10,000			26 years
\$1,000 \$10,225	\$8,985	Age of Roof	
School School	Owhit Arg. by School	Age of Original Building Type	32 years
Choice and Student Engag	perment		
Onita in 218	10		hool Rank by School Type
		Number of 55 Pacaments	24 4 of 13
Anandrag Yurn. Anandrag Anandrag Const Monart	Reinand Tranke Out 🤌 Tranke Out (Darias Prices) Water Olasi	Number of DAIP Pacements	19 2 of 13
School Resources			
	-	-	
Extracurricular Offerings			of Admin 6



District Optimization School Profiles

Each school receives a profile showing performance against district optimization priorities

E	_	_	1	I	a,	4	•	_	-
	d,	C	L	L	L	L	L	e	E.

Capital Inve	stment per Student (Las	t 10 Years)			
\$40.000	·····	,	School Oistric	Age of HVAC	9 years
\$20,000				Age of Roof	30 years
\$0	\$4,047	\$26,	238	Age of Original Building	30 years
50	School	District Avg. by	School Type		•

Priority Criteria

Academic Outcomes	0	Meets ⁺ percentage not on track to meet Board goal; subgroup(s) performing in bottom 10% by school type
High Quality School Facilities	0	Building utilization <80%; facility investment in bottom 25% by school type
Strong Leadership and Staffing	0	3-year teacher retention rate is below district average
Family and Student Engagement	0	Student attendance is below average by school type
Resources for Student Readiness	0	Operating cost per student is above the 75th percentile; total staff-to-enrollment ratio is outside of acceptable range



Discussion

Beyond the facilities information in the School Profiles, what information will help guide you as a member of the LRFPC? What actions can the LRFPC and district take to ensure that School Profiles are shared widely with the community throughout the Optimization process? What is your envisioned future for before and after the District Optimization decisions are implemented?

06 Calendar of Future Events

District Optimization Timeline

	Month	Action
	June	 Board votes to approve District Optimization priorities
	July	 One-on-one conversation with Trustees (Round 2) School Profile documents become available District Optimization website goes live Initial Long-Range Facilities Planning Committee meeting
	August	 One-on-one conversation with Trustees (Round 3) Initial District Optimization recommendations brought to Board
	September	 Community meetings held on rezoning District Optimization Continued Long-Range Facilities Planning Committee meetings Revise initial recommendations based on Board and community feedback
•	October & Beyond	 Board votes on final District Optimization and rezoning recommendations KISD implements District Optimization recommendations

Long-Range Facilities Planning Committee Timeline

Date	Time	Location	Торіс
July 9, 2025	6:00 - 8:30	Chaparral HS	District Optimization Overview
September 3, 2025	6:00 - 8:30	Ellison HS	District Optimization – Rezoning Recommendations
October 29, 2025	6:00 - 8:30	Harker Heights HS	LRFMP Kickoff – Why and Big Picture Road Map Current + Future State – Educational Design
November 12, 2025	6:00 - 8:30	Dr. Jimmie Don Aycock MS	Finances + Capacity Potential Enhancements - Elementary Review of Current Status of Elementary Campuses
December 3, 2025	6:00 - 8:30	Rancier MS	Potential Enhancements - Secondary Review of Current Status of Secondary Campuses
December 18, 2025	6:00 - 8:30	Pershing Park ES	
January 15, 2026	6:00 - 8:30	Trimmier ES	Recommendations + Prioritizations
Jan. – Feb. 2026			Document Production
March 10, 2026			Board Workshop – LRFMP Presentation